1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA ANTONIO CORTEZ BUCKLEY, 10 11 Plaintiff, No. CIV S-02-1451 DFL KJM P 12 VS. 13 EDWARD S. ALAMEIDA, JR., et al., 14 Defendants. **ORDER** 15 On May 23, 2005, plaintiff filed a request for reconsideration of the magistrate 16 17 judge's order filed May 10, 2005, denying petitioner's April 29, 2005 motion to compel. 18 Pursuant to E.D. Local Rule 72-303(f), a magistrate judge's orders shall be upheld unless "clearly 19 erroneous or contrary to law." Upon review of the entire file, the court finds that it does not 20 appear that the magistrate judge's ruling was clearly erroneous or contrary to law. 21 Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, upon reconsideration, the order of the 22 magistrate judge filed May 10, 2005 is affirmed. 23 DATED: 9/26/2005 24 25 26

United States District Judge

Case 2:02-cv-01451-JKS-KJM Document 76 Filed 09/27/05 Page 2 of 2